

# PROTECTORS

## OF PINE OAK WOODS



### STATEN ISLAND'S LAND CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION

#### INSIDE . . .

IN DEFENSE OF COMMON SENSE	2
PROTECTORS JOINS COALITION TO PRESERVE SOUTH BEACH POND	3
UNVEILING PROTECTORS NEW WEB SITE	3
GREAT KILLS HARBOR PARK— HOW MUCH IS ENOUGH?	6
NORTH SHORE WATERFRONT PARK— STALLED?	6
CANDIDATES SPEAK OUT ON OUR ENVIRONMENT	8
<b>CALENDAR</b>	<b>INSERT</b>
MEMBERSHIP REPORT	INSERT

SUMMER/FALL 2001

## LEGAL UPDATES

### Bloomington Park

**P**ROTECTORS AND OUR PRO BONO lawyers at Sullivan and Cromwell and the National Resources Defense Council are pleased to report that the Attorney General's Office has issued its own brief to the court outlining its objections to the city's construction of ballfields in the wetlands of Bloomington Park.

Specifically, the Attorney General argued that the project must be reviewed by the Division of Coastal Resources of the New York State Department of State to ascertain if it conforms to the requirements of The Army Corps of Engineers' Nationwide Permit 26 and the Coastal Management Plan of the State of New York. We believe that the city's project at this site stands to conflict with a number of the State's coastal policies, and therefore should not be given state approval.

On July 20 the city admitted it needed the State review and the city submitted its project to the State Department for its determination. The State Department has decided that a full review is needed, but has not yet reached a determination. The court date, originally scheduled for August 30, has been adjourned to September 13. (Check out our Web site, [www.siprotectors.org](http://www.siprotectors.org), for late developments.)

The Attorney General's Office has also argued that the site is unacceptable because the project would cause a reduction in interior forest acres, a rare habitat in the city crucial to the successful breeding of specific birds (e.g., whip-poor-will, great crested flycatcher, red-eyed vireo, wood thrush, etc.). This project would accelerate a loss of both habitat and bird species citywide.

Protectors continues to maintain that the Final Environmental Impact Statement did not adequately address the issues raised in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and that the Freshwater Wetlands Appeals Board acted arbitrarily and capriciously in its decision not to review the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's determination that no wetlands exist in the eastern portion of Bloomington Park. A court-ordered injunction against the site's development still stands.

We are also continuing efforts to persuade decision makers that other more environmentally friendly sites are available to build this project faster, better and cheaper.

### Northern Sea View

**J**UDGE PONTERIO HAS DENIED the New York City Economic Development Corporation's (EDC) motion to dismiss our suit against that agency in its plans to develop senior housing in the serpentine barrens of Northern Sea View, in effect agreeing with our claim that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is warranted at this stage of the project *before* the contract is signed by the designated developers. The EDC sought to prove that we were premature in challenging the timing or scope of an Environmental Impact Statement, since no developer was designated yet to develop the senior housing in the 50-acre site. However, the facts before the judge showed that the city had indeed designated a developer who had already prepared extensive project designs. The city claimed that a developer isn't really designated until a contract is signed. Only then is an EIS called for, city lawyers said.

At the heart of the issue is whether the city or a designated developer is responsible for an EIS before any contracts are signed. Our lawyer Matt Woitkowski argued that the State Environmental Quality Review procedure mandates that an EIS should be done as early as possible in the planning process, and that now was the right time to raise that demand. Judge Ponterio agreed.

The city is appealing that ruling.

FALL MEETING, WED., OCT. 3  
6:30 TO 9:30 P.M., S.I. ZOO  
CANDIDATES' NIGHT: FOCUS ON  
STATEN ISLAND'S ENVIRONMENT  
(SEE INSERT AND PAGE 8)

—Hillel Lofaso

## OFFICERS & DIRECTORS

President . . . . Richard P. Buegler

First Vice President  
. . . . . Dominick A. Durso

Second Vice President  
. . . . . D. Hillel Lofaso

Treasurer . . . . . Mary Ann Stiles

Corresponding Secretary  
. . . . . Ellen O'Flaherty Pratt

Recording Secretary  
. . . . . Elaine Croteau

Membership Secretary  
. . . . . Sylvia Zaage

Alan I. Benimoff, Ph.D. • Norman I. Condit, Jr. • Jack Deitch, Esq. • Louis C. Dujmich, Esq. • Clifford Hagen • Joan Martin • Charles Perry • David Soifer, Ph.D.

## HONORARY DIRECTORS

Albert F. Appleton, Esq. • Carlton Beil • Hon. Gordon J. Davis • Joseph Fernicola • Bradford Greene • Marian S. Heiskell • Bruce Kershner • Hon. Ralph J. Lamberti • Richard Lynch • Robert Miraldi, Ph.D. • John G. Mitchell • George O. Pratt, Jr. • Arthur M. Shapiro, Ph.D. • Norma Siebenheller • Hon. Henry J. Stern • Betty van Stolk

## BULLETIN COMMITTEE

Chairman & Editor: D. Hillel Lofaso  
Louise Colavito • Dominick A. Durso • George Hassoldt • Joan Martin • Ellen O'Flaherty Pratt • Sylvia Zaage

Typesetting & Design:

D. Hillel Lofaso

Printed By: Almar Printing

Company, Inc., New York City

**PROTECTORS BULLETIN** is printed on recycled paper

Mailing address: 80 Mann Avenue, S.I., NY 10314; (718) 761-7496.

**e-mail address:**

[siprotectors@aol.com](mailto:siprotectors@aol.com)

**Web site:** [siprotectors.org](http://siprotectors.org)

## IN DEFENSE OF COMMON SENSE

by Ellen Pratt, Corresponding Secretary and guest contributor to the President's Message

LIVING AS WE DO, ON AN ISLAND, the principles of common sense, cooperation and compromise, often used by newspaper editors in their deliberations, usually make sense. Perhaps these principles will help as we review the serious mistakes Borough President Molinari, Mayor Giuliani and their advisors have made in selecting 38-acre eastern Bloomingdale Park for sports fields and other recreational facilities, part of a park which was purchased to protect the Lemon Creek watershed.

It is common sense that you don't build sports fields inside a woodland, by leveling the tops of hills, on a site with such steep hillsides that nine (9) bridges must be built to cross valleys carved by streams. Common sense requires avoiding building level fields on a hillside which declines 50 feet in elevation, especially a hillside crossed by stream valleys which have carved steep slopes within woodlands. There are some engineers who think they can build anything, but it's common sense that attempts to shape hills into flat sports fields leads to erosion...continuing erosion.

Common sense should and would cause reasonable government leaders to reject demolishing 12 acres (we estimate 19 acres) of park-protected woodland unless there were no possible alternative sites. A complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), mandated in Federal, state and city law, when 2.5 acres or more of parkland is substantially altered, should provide another clue to find a better site, assuming decisions are being made by sensible leaders dedicated to meeting community needs. At the point an EIS was mandated it was common sense to identify alternate, less environmentally difficult and sensitive sites, just to avoid the long delay and expense of an EIS. That was not done. Furthermore, common sense requires a search for a better location, one which would avoid losses to air and water quality and wildlife habitat and avoid the expense, delay and controversy involved in demolishing more than 1,500 mature trees. Have we any leaders who have considered how many millions of dollars it would cost to mitigate this tree loss by replacing the forest?

Granted, sports fields are needed; but why spend the \$2-5 million it will cost to remove 1,500 mature trees and stumps and transform hilltops into level fields...especially considering the hundreds of thousands of acres of Island woodlands which have been lost to development? Why not spend the \$2-5 million wasted on site preparation costs to build additional fields elsewhere? Many sports facilities can be built by the Parks and Recreation Department, which has decades of citywide experience in building sports facilities, with the \$16 million currently pledged to this misguided project...that's common sense!

Common sense and respect for the public also mandate respect for the zoning of the community, the Special South Richmond Development District (SSRDD). The SSRDD zone's purpose, in addition to development, is to "avoid destruction of irreplaceable natural resources...to maintain the natural ecological balance of the area with minimum disruption of natural topography, trees, lakes and other features." (Article X, Ch. 7, 107-00)

Cooperation with the public requires an understanding of the background and heritage of the community and its citizen groups, and respect for this heritage and citizenry. Protectors' largely successful mission in the past has been to encourage and support efforts of NY City and NY State leaders to protect our Island's woodlands and ponds, in NY City Parks and Bluebelts and State Conservation Areas and Parks. Protectors does its homework, learning about ecosystems, fragmentation, edge effects and migratory flyways, supporting responsible government stewardship and fighting land speculators, greedy developers and their increasingly numerous political allies.

It is common sense that we have not run out of suitable spaces for sports fields or for a "Central Park for the South Shore." Cooperation and compromise can allow us to meet those needs responsibly, with less expense and damage to existing parks. As we'll explain, more sports fields, tennis and basketball courts, and walking, bicycle and horse trails can be built, in appropriate, community enhancing locations, if common sense,

*(continued on page 7)*

## PROTECTING NORTHERN SOUTH BEACH WETLANDS

**P**ROTECTORS HAS JOINED a coalition-in-formation to help advance the preservation of the open space acres at South Beach. The coalition calls itself The Coalition for South Beach Pond Park Preserve and is currently made up of the Ocean Breeze Civic Association, Protectors of Pine Oak Woods and the Natural Resources Protective Association.

The coalition seeks to achieve a moratorium on building in the area, which effectively reduces and fragments the wetlands acres and how they function. At issue are the mostly privately owned wetland acres in the northern section of NA-7, the mapped area that includes the 110-acre state dormitory site that was recently transferred to New York City Parks.

The coalition will work to raise awareness of the need to preserve the northern section both in the immediate and larger communities and at all levels of government and elected office.

The Northern Section of the South Beach Wetlands (SBW), although contiguous with the 110-acre state parcel, is bounded by Sand Lane to the northeast, Fr. Capodanno Blvd to the east and, roughly, Quintard St. to the south. It has an irregular northwestern border aligned predominantly along Oceanside Avenue.

Aerial photographs over the site show dense stands of vegetation with a large freshwater pond at its northeastern end, with channels and smaller ponds extending southward. While the southern section of the SBW is traversed with trails, the northern section appears relatively isolated. Housing development is clearly encroaching on the site, along its western edge.

A description of the site, in a 1999 report compiled by Peter P. Blanchard III, includes freshwater ponds and pools; freshwater wetlands, wetlands dominated by phragmites, upland woods, woodland islands surrounded by wetlands, and upland fields. The site is attractive to migratory and resident bird species because of its vegetative diversity, and amphibians make use of the freshwater pools for procreation and sustenance.

For more information on how you can help, please call Catherine Green Manzi at 447-3097. —Hillel Lofaso



The Pond at the northern section of NA-7 needs special protection and preservation.

### PROTECTORS NEW WEB SITE

**P**ROTECTORS has launched a new internet Web site: [www.siprotectors.org](http://www.siprotectors.org). It is the one place where you can catch updates on our work on environmental issues affecting Staten Island and the latest breaking news. The homepage has easy-to-follow links that take you to various pages on the site. For instance, you can learn about Protectors mission and history by clicking on the About Protectors button. If you want to learn more about the latest on Bloomingdale Park or Northern Sea View, click on the Current Issues button.

The Events button will take you to a page listing all the meetings, workshops and walks for the next few months. We are working on an Archive page that will contain our bulletins in pdf format. Simply download the pdf file and access it using the Adobe Acrobat Reader software downloadable free from the Adobe Web site.

We are also working on creating a useful resource page of related environmental links that will direct users to other organizations and agencies for more information. An important part of this page will be a list of resources for children and young adults on environmental education and fun activities geared to learning about the natural world.

We hope that you will visit our site often and will begin learning more about Staten Island's largest and oldest continuing environmental organization.

—Hillel Lofaso



## PROTECTORS JOINS COALITION TO DEFEAT THE UNWARRANTED WIDENING OF ROCKLAND AVENUE AND TO PUSH FOR FINAL DEMAPPING OF WILLOWBROOK PARKWAY

**P**ROTECTORS HAS JOINED a coalition of community groups working to defeat plans to widen Rockland Avenue through the Greenbelt. The plan would irrevocably harm the Greenbelt by removing 500 trees and by encroaching on protecting parklands and wetlands. Protectors is joined by the following groups: High Rock Civic Association, Joint Mid-Island Civic Association, Lighthouse Hill Civic Association, Richmondtown and Clark Avenue Civic Association, Summit/Beacon Avenue Concerned Residents Committee, New Dorp Heights Concerned Residents Committee and the Grant City Merchants Association.

The following is a position statement released by the coalition outlining the reasons it believes the project and its objectives are fundamentally flawed.

We oppose heavy handed projects proposed and in the works to widen Rockland Avenue in the Greenbelt. The Greenbelt boundaries adopted as City Policy pursuant to the City Planning Commission Greenbelt Report of 1983 and the Greenbelt Master Plan of 1991, extend generally from Richmond Road to Forest Hill Road, and are inclusive of the Willowbrook parkway Corridor from Hylan Boulevard to Victory Boulevard. No transportation analysis, including the comprehensive State DOT *Staten Island Arterial Needs Study*, has ever called for major capacity additions to Rockland Avenue. We oppose the proposed alignment of Rockland Avenue from Richmond Road to Manor Road as found in the NYC Department of Transportation Preliminary Design Investigation Report, dated February 14, 2001. We oppose widening to a 44-foot roadway with a 69-foot right-of-way. It is an unnecessary expenditure, will decrease safety, and will degrade Greenbelt aesthetics and the Richmond Creek buffer zone, taking up to a 130-foot swath of swamp forest. Improvements should follow the alignment as it presently

exists. The Coalition would agree to a somewhat wider roadway, such as a 36-foot right-of-way, inclusive of roadway, sidewalk and utility space—as proposed by NYCDOT consultants and an interagency project team in 1998. We support limited intersection improvements. At Rockland/Manor/Meisner we support a 12-foot shift of Rockland Avenue, northbound only, to accommodate a 6 car-length turn bay. We support, in concept, the reconstruction of the intersection of Rockland Avenue and Richmond Road to enhance flow and allow for the construction of turn bay storage capacity. This is the concept agreed to in the Greenbelt master Plan of 1991, endorsed at the time by the Borough President and the Community Boards. We support, in concept, very limited expansion of turn bay capacity on Rockland Avenue at Forest Hill Road.

The Coalition demands:

That the City Charter's ULURP procedure be followed for changes to the City map, including a change in line and grade, the demapping of the Willowbrook parkway, the mapping of a new Rockland Avenue along the alignment that presently exists—a street that is not presently mapped. Any attempt to avoid ULURP is a blatantly illegal act and will be challenged.

That City Planning Commission authorization be obtained for a site alteration and an improvement of a public right of way. Any attempt to avoid City Planning Commission authorization in the Special Natural Areas District is a blatantly illegal act and will be challenged.

That the project as proposed requires a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the proposed storm sewer between Nevada Avenue

### **We'll study this thing till we get it right?**

The Willowbrook Parkway right-of-way has been studied three times:

- ♦ In 1981 the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council concluded that it would never be needed.
- ♦ In 1988 consultants to the Department of City Planning found that it had no cost-effective use.
- ♦ In 1994 the massive comprehensive State DOT Staten Island Arterial Needs Study (using a 2015 model year, recently updated to 2020) concluded that it was not needed. Indeed, the project manager of that study stated that “There is no development in the Willowbrook Corridor that has any overt benefits.”

These studies, three over a decade, repeatedly and consistently concluded that the Willowbrook Parkway, in whole or in part, as a highway or arterial, separately or in combination with other road proposals, including a north south greenway and the proposed extension of Father Capodanno Boulevard, is not needed and would never be needed.

and Manor Road, and a modification of the adopted Drainage Plan.

An EIS for the project proposed and covering the cumulative impacts of other work proposed on Rockland Avenue. Any attempt to avoid State law and City procedures for environmental review is a blatantly illegal act and will be challenged.

Finally, the Coalition demands that the funds necessary (\$250,000–350,000) be redirected from the capital budget to fund the demapping of the Willowbrook Parkway, and that ongoing work to demap the Richmond Parkway be completed.

The Borough President's Engineer, Michael Nagy, displayed a seemingly intentional lack of comprehension of issues raised by the community at the April 2, 2001 Community Board 2 meeting.

"Everyone here is opposed to the project mainly because of the width of the roadway," the Advance quoted Mr. Nagy as saying (4/3/01). "We could ask DOT to narrow it enough to preclude two lanes. But if everyone will blindly opposes it then, it will tell the Borough President and elected officials that it is not the real reason you are against it."

This is an accurate quote, but doesn't quite represent the tactic in use by Mr. Nagy. Here is how the meeting went: Someone from the community would say, "Just improve the intersections." Mr. Nagy would say, "What I am hearing is that everyone here is opposed to the project mainly because of the width of the roadway." Someone from the community would say "keep the bends in the road, they keep the speeds down and keep it safer, making it straighter would increase speed and decrease safety." Mr. Nagy would say, "What I am hearing is that everyone here is opposed to the project mainly because of the width of the roadway." His response was the same no matter what anybody proposed.

It is clear that Mr. Nagy's tactic is to defend the proposed new alignment so that even if they build only two lanes now, they can add asphalt later.

We were disheartened to hear Community Board 3's District Manager, Marie Bodnar, make the statement that "Community Board Three will not support the demapping of the Willowbrook

Parkway until local road improvements have been made."

This position has been held in one way or another by the Board, under various management, for over 12 years. It is a position based on a false premise and has been successful in achieving nothing. The Community Board would be wise to reevaluate its opinion. Deal making over the Willowbrook corridor has failed.

We support a fiscally prudent, community friendly and environmentally sound approach

This project, which includes a storm sewer to serve a roadway doubled in width (44 feet, with a 69 foot right-of-way including sidewalk, utility space and berm) will cost the taxpayers upwards of \$23 million, including \$9 million for additional, unnecessary storm sewer work, and over \$6 million for the re-alignment. Of the total, upwards of \$10 million is probably unnecessary.

Money saved on this project could be redirected to dozens of intersection improvements and transit related projects. In 1994, then Councilman Fusco

allocated \$400,000 for reconstruction of the Richmond Road/Rockland Avenue intersection, which is the source of most of the traffic congestion which motorists experience on Rockland Avenue. Currently, \$549,000 is available for this project. This project can proceed without delay as it is designed wholly within the lines of legally adopted streets.

The major road building story on Staten Island is over. Advocates of same are wasting our valuable time. We are an urban Island with urban zoning and urban densities. Approaches that deny that reality are doomed to failure.

We cannot support any proposal that is fiscally imprudent, degrade our community with increased noise and pollution, and damage the wetland forest environment and aesthetics of this gateway to the Greenbelt.

*—Editor's note: This article was written with the help of John Rooney and other members of the Coalition. Protectors' Board Member Chuck Perry serves as our liaison to the Coalition.*

### **NO transportation study has ever recommended Major Capacity Improvement on Rockland Avenue.**

The State Department of Transportation's 1994 Staten Island Arterial needs Study, a comprehensive, \$1 million-plus analysis, proposed no major capacity improvement on Rockland Avenue, either in their "High Level of Service," recommendations for improvements or "Low Impact-High Effect" alternative. Intersection work only was recommended. In fact, no study over the last two decades has called for major widening of Rockland or any Greenbelt Roads.

The State DOT analysis also included intersection turn counts, which showed, and current data confirms, that of the traffic heading southbound on Rockland, 2/3 makes a left at Richmond Road, and at Richmond/Amboy, makes a left, heading towards New Dorp. The pattern is the same in the other direction. This area will never have an increase in road capacity, so that any additional volume directed to the area will make already difficult problems worse.

## THE BATTLE FOR GREAT KILLS HARBOR PARK IS FAR FROM OVER

**T**HAT'S RIGHT, THE FIGHT is far from over. The Coalition for Great Kills Harbor Park aims for a 25-acre park, not just an esplanade... 25 acres of solid land...land for playgrounds, lawns, picnic areas, and an environmental center focusing on the Raritan Bay, fisheries and shoreline ecology. Also, nature and walking trails, parking for cars and trailers near the public boat launch promised since the early 1980s and parking for the fishing/observation pier, which, thanks to funds allocated by Councilman O'Donovan, will be restored.

To date 11 underwater acres and 5.7 acres of shoreline and tidal marsh are designated for protection, the work of former Councilman Stephen Fiala. However, this narrow shoreline is just barely wide enough for an esplanade, which will connect Mansion and Cleveland Avenues with the public walkway at Port Regalle and then with Crescent Beach Park. The esplanade, one and a quarter miles long, is a good beginning...but it's far from enough for public needs, the needs of 440,000 Islanders. Just think how many newcomers live in townhouses and homes with tiny yards. Our Island's only other shady seaside park, Wolfe's Pond Park, is constantly crowded, and some folks don't like or must avoid the full sun of Gateway-Great Kills and South and Midland Beaches.

The Coalition has tough work ahead. Twenty groups, including the PTAs of IS 24 and PS 8, the S.I. Chapter of the Coastal Conservation Association, the Federation of S.I. Sportsmen's Clubs, Protectors, the Natural Resources Protective Association, and NY/NJ Bay-keeper, are coordinated by Mary Davies, President of Crescent Beach Civic Association. The Coalition must defeat Savo Brothers' development plan to build 167 homes on the 8 acres between Nelson and Wiman Avenues, and plans for luxury homes on the Atlantic Development Corporation parcel between Nelson and Cleveland Avenues. Both parcels are within the 100-year-flood zone; both are less than ten feet above sea level. Savo's plan is to add up to 7 feet of fill on top of the already filled salt marsh, so his homes will meet federal flood insurance codes. But where will rain and storm waters currently absorbed in this already filled parcel go when the land is covered with roads, driveways, houses, patios? You can be sure all neighbors—homes in Great Kills Beach, Port Regalle and Crescent Beach will be flooded...and the new homeowners will, as well.

Where will funds come from to buy the acres needed? Some matching funds may come from N.Y. State, possibly from our next Borough President and Mayor if a Borough President and Mayor who care about adding new parks are elected.

Otherwise, forget it...an esplanade will be all we'll get! To express your interest or to keep posted call 967-3969 or email GKHarborPark@aol.com

—Ellen Pratt

## NORTH SHORE WATERFRONT PARK NEEDS MORE HELP

**W**E WAITED, WE HOPED. Maybe, just maybe in this election year, the Borough President's Office would allocate some Capital Budget dollars for this great revitalization and waterfront access project which needs less than \$5 million. Not one cent, "we have no money," we were told...what nonsense!

The funding package has been started, however, by Councilman Jay O'Donovan who allocated \$250,000. We're hoping for funds in the second State Budget. Senator Marchi and Assembly members Lavelle and Vitaliano strongly support the project. One piece has been lost—the small parcel above Richmond Terrace is under construction. But the 9-acre waterfront parcel including S.I. Marina and the solid Marine Power and Light building and adjacent parking area is waiting, ready to become a wonderful waterfront park. Your support is needed. Send your thoughts to the papers, then phone political leaders and ask political candidates to pledge they will provide funds.

—Ellen Pratt



**Is this salt marsh the beginning or the end of the Great Kills Harbor Park?**



**This portion of the North Shore Waterfront would make an ideal park with room for private partnership.**

cooperation and compromise can prevail.

The selection of eastern Bloomingdale Park, a uniquely unsuitable site, has forced DDC and proponents of the project to hide and twist information, thus confusing community boards, recreational leaders and the public. Community Board and recreational leaders have been constantly involved, courted and misinformed. The proponents of this disaster have not listened to the Department of Parks, which remains silently opposed to this abuse of parkland. Parks consultants concluded (in the Vollmer Report) that the Bloomingdale site would be the most expensive, time consuming and environmentally destructive of the sites evaluated, which includes the still-viable 130-acre publicly owned Kreischer Hill/Charleston Retail site and the 16-acre Arden Avenue parcel.

Talk about cooperation and compromise! Protectors, NYC Audubon Society and others who oppose this project have never been asked to meet. Repeated efforts at mailings and letters to the Mayor, Borough President Molinari and

the newspapers have been stonewalled. No pauses, no reconsideration, just silent stonewalling. Our comments, data and suggestions of alternate sites have made virtually no impact on EIS results and recommendations. Is this any way to run government? No wonder Protectors has spent many tens of thousands of dollars trying to defend the basic environmental laws and regulations; no wonder we must challenge the findings of the EIS in court!

Consultants preparing the EIS have seen fit to omit, alter and falsify information and avoid accurate evaluation of massive damage to woodlands, wildlife, soils, air and water quality. The EIS avoids serious discussion of erosion, flood control and traffic impacts on the Lemon Creek watershed and on nearby neighborhoods, all in order to meet our leaders' requirement that eastern Bloomingdale Park be chosen.

Consultants have simplistically dismissed alternative sites. They have refused to consider the newly acquired 110-acre Ocean Breeze park, "the Miller Field of

the 21st Century," which was transferred from NY State to NY City Parks and Recreation in summer 2000 "to create a series of soccer and ball fields, walking paths, trails and picnic areas," while leaving most of the (wetland) property in its natural state. (Staten Island Advance, 10/10/99). They have found excuses to dismiss other flat, essentially treeless open space sites as Kreischer Hill/Charleston Retail Center, Station Avenue in Pleasant Plains (Parks' original and preferred site) and the 16-acre Arden Avenue site, which appeals to NYC Parks but is now under the jurisdiction of NYC Sanitation. Never filled, it is located between the West Shore Expressway and Arthur Kill Road just north of Arden Avenue.

Compromise requires respecting our heritage and our citizenry. It means using our Island's resources to meet needs, without abusing public assets. We have had enough, due to rapid, overly dense development. It is common sense that we protect our heritage and respect each other's needs, which, with cooperation, can be met without sacrificing the needs of our citizens and our Island.

CLIP AND MAIL

**PROTECTORS OF PINE OAK WOODS**



STATEN ISLAND'S LAND CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION

**ARE YOU A MEMBER? HAVE YOU RENEWED?**  
**YES, I WANT TO HELP STATEN ISLAND'S FINEST REMAINING NATURAL AREAS AND SEE THE GREENBELT PROTECTED.**

Please enroll me as a member in the following category:

- NEW  RENEWAL
- \$ 5.00...Student
- \$ 5.00...Senior Citizen
- \$ 10.00...Individual
- \$ 15.00...Family
- \$ 25.00...Organizational
- \$ 25.00...Contributing (includes free lapel pin)\*
- \$ 50.00...Patron (includes 2 lapel pins)\*
- \$100.00...Donor (includes 2 lapel pins)\*
- \$100.00 & Up...Corporate (includes 2 lapel pins)\*
- \$250 & Up...Protector
- \$500 & Up...Lifetime member
- \$ 3.50...Lapel Pin, mailed to me

**Already a member? Please use this form to continue to generously support Protectors' Defense Fund or other projects.**

Enclosed is an additional donation of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ to defray special expenses for

**Protectors' Defense Fund (\$500 or more earns lifetime membership and a print by mezzotint artist Herman Zaage. Limited supply.)**

**Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_**

**CAN YOUR EMPLOYER OFFER MATCHING GRANTS?**

\*  Please help us defray costs. Check here only if you would like to receive lapel pins in return for your donation.

NAME(Mr./Mrs./Ms.) \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail address \_\_\_\_\_

Phone me. I want to volunteer my help: \_\_\_\_\_

ENCLOSED is my tax deductible check for \$ \_\_\_\_\_ made payable to PROTECTORS OF PINE OAK WOODS, INC.

**Mail to: Sylvia Zaage 160 Simonson Avenue, Staten Island, NY 10303**

## CANDIDATES SPEAK ON STATEN ISLAND ENVIRONMENT AT SEMI-ANNUAL MEETING, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 3

Please join Protectors at its Semi-Annual Fall Meeting, Wednesday, October 3. The topic is "Candidates' Night: Focus on Staten Island's Environment." We believe that this event, focusing solely on Staten Island's environmental concerns, has the potential to make a lasting impact on all local candidates and their environment-related leadership.

All four candidates for Borough President have been invited. Each is asked to speak for 10 minutes and to answer our questions for 20 minutes.

We hope that you will agree that your participation in "Candidates Night: Focus on Staten Island's Environment" will afford an essential opportunity to hear the candidates address environmental needs and answer our questions.

Other Island environmental and like-minded groups have been invited to sponsor the meeting with us. We can learn more about the Island's environment-related groups, meet old friends and new, and strengthen the environmental advocacy here.

The meeting is free and open to the public. It will be held at the Staten Island Zoo auditorium on Broadway. The meeting begins with registration at 6:30 p.m. and runs to 9:30 p.m. Refreshments will be served. For more information call 727-1326

**SEE THE INSERT FOR OTHER CALENDAR EVENTS**

**PROTECTOR'S OF PINE OAK WOODS, INC.**

**80 MANN AVENUE • STATEN ISLAND • NEW YORK 10314**

**PROTECTOR'S  
OF PINE OAK  
WOODS**



STATEN ISLAND'S  
LAND CONSERVATION  
ORGANIZATION

Address Service Requested

NON PROFIT ORG.  
U.S. POSTAGE  
**PAID**  
PERMIT 405  
S.I., N.Y. 10314

**GIVE GENEROUSLY TO PROTECTOR'S  
DEFENSE FUND (SEE COUPON)**

**PLEASE CHECK THE MAILING LABEL. IF YOUR  
MEMBERSHIP HAS EXPIRED, RENEW TODAY!**